Instructions.

(A) Explanation of delay should invariably be given if the interval between the date of the appearance of the accused and the date of judgment or sentence is more than a fortnight.

(B) In the case of arrest whether the accused was detained in custody or released on bail should invariably be stated in Column 14, and in the latter case the date of release should also be given.

(C) In Column 13 note—

Discharged under Section..... .....
Acquitted under Section.....
Or convicted under Section... .....
and sentenced to.....

FORM C.

(1) Date of presentation of the appeal or petition.
(2) Date of filing.
(3) Date fixed for hearing.
(4) Date or dates of hearing.
(5) Date of disposal.
(6) Number of adjournments.
(7) Explanation of delay.

Criminal Rule of Practice No. 91.

Dated 5th October 1936.

Under the provisions of Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 554 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with the previous sanction of the Government, the High Court makes the following rule for the guidance of Judges and Magistrates:—

"A typewriter may be used by a Judge or Magistrate, instead of a pen, for the purpose of recording depositions, memoranda of evidence, judgments or orders. But, if that is done, the Judge or Magistrate shall append to each record of evidence or judgment or order so typewritten a certificate that he has himself made the record with a typewriter and put his initials at the end of each page of the record."

Civil Rule of Practice No. 166.

Dated 17th October 1936.

Under the provisions of Section 130 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1911, and with the previous sanction of the Government the High Court hereby makes the following rule:—

Money due to a party, which is in deposit in Court towards the satisfaction of a decree, shall be paid only to the party entitled to it or to his Advocate or Pleader expressly authorised by Vakalatnama to receive such moneys or to his recognised agent as defined in Rule 2 of Order III of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1911, or to some person authorised by power-of-attorney duly stamped to receive such moneys on behalf of the party entitled to it, provided that decree amounts due to the Government may be paid to the Government Advocate or to a Government Pleader without the production of any Vakalatnama or other express authorization."

Civil Rule of Practice No. 164, dated 5th October 1933, and the subsequent amendments to it are hereby cancelled.

T. SHAMIENGAR,
Registrar.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

Insolvency Case No. 67 *of* 1932-33.

1. Muddappa, 2. Nanjundiah, 3. Lakshminaraniah all are residing at Tiptur .. .. *Petitioners.*

vs.

1. Dasa Viswanathaiah, residing at Madhugiri and others ... ... *Opponents.*

Take notice that the petitioners have applied for final discharge under Section 41 (1) of the Insolvency Regulation in the above number.

Dated 12th October 1936.

Date of hearing—27th November 1936.

Insolvency Application No. 144 *of* 1932-33.

1. M. D. Esekiel, 2. A. Mary Esekiel, both residing at Oorgaum, Kolar Gold Fields ... ... *Petitioners.*

vs.

1. Hanumappa Setty, Merchant, Bowringpet and others ... *Opponents.*

On the application of 1. M. D. Esekiel and another, and on reading the petition filed by the petitioners and hearing the opponents, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 13th July 1934, against the petitioners be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated 12th October 1936.

Date of hearing—15th October 1936.

Insolvency Application No. 144 *of* 1933-34.

Anantharamiah, son of Subramanyappa, residing at Basavangudi, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

1. K. Giriyappa, residing at Bangalore City and others ... ... *Opponents.*

On the application of Anantharamiah, and on reading the petition filed by the petitioner and hearing the opponents, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 28th September 1934, against the petitioner be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated 12th day of October 1936.

Date of hearing—15th October 1936.

Insolvency Case No. 37 *of* 1934-35.

Appanna, son of Chikkanna, residing at Kadagothur, Madhugiri Taluk ... ... *Petitioner (Insolvent).*

vs.

1. Katta Ramiah, Mandy Merchant, Hindupur and others ... *Opponents.*

Take notice that the petitioner has applied for final discharge under Section 41 (1) of the Insolvency Regulation in the above number.

Dated 12th October 1936.

Date of hearing—20th November 1936.

Insolvency Case No. 99 *of* 1934-35.

Mohamad Shafee, son of Mohamed Shubnulla, residing at Korachara-palya, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner, (Insolvent).*

vs.

1. Abdul Azeez Sab, Merchant, Bangalore City and others ... *Opponents.*

Take notice that the petitioner has applied for final discharge under Section 41 (1) of the Insolvency Regulation in the above number and he is discharged finally on 9th October 1936.

Dated 12th October 1936.

Date of hearing—15th October 1936.

Insolvency Case No. 141 *of* 1934-35.

Abdul Rasheed Sab, son of Abdul Rasool Saheb, residing in Nagarthapet, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner (Insolvent).*

vs.

H. Nemichand, General Merchant, Bangalore City by Jessaraj ... *Opponents.*

Take notice that the petitioner has applied for final discharge under Section 41 (1) of the Insolvency Regulation in the above number.

Dated 12th October 1936.

Date of hearing—27th November 1936.

Insolvency Application No. 72 *of* 1935-36.

N. Ajjappa, son of Nagappa, residing at No. 9, Palace, Guttahalli, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs. *(Insolvent).*

1. The Secretary, Star of India, Avenue Road, Bangalore City and others ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 4th November 1935, against opponents and on the application of N. Ajjappa, and on reading the petition and hearing the opponents, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent subject to paying Rs. 15 per month for 2 years.

Dated this 9th day of October 1936.

Creditors to prove debts by 4th December 1936.

Time for discharge—Two years.

Date of hearing—4th December 1936.

Insolvency Application No. 127 *of* 1935-36.

Mir Obedulla, son of Syed Ameer, residing at Nimboji Rao Galli, Kalasipalyam, Bangalore City .... *Petitioner*

vs. *(Insolvent).*

Sait Moolchand, Chaheram, Bankers, Bangalore City and others ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 27th January 1936, against opponents, and on the application of Mir Obedulla and on reading the petition and hearing the opponents, it is ordered that the debtor, be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 9th day of October 1936.

To prove debts by 4th December 1936.

Time for discharge—Six months.

Receiver will take charge after assets of the insolvent petitioner.

Date of hearing—4th December 1936.

CREDITORS APPLICATION.

Insolvency Application No. 5 *of* 1936-37.

1. Haji Suleman Sait, 2. V. Narayana Setty, Old Tharagupet, Bangalore City ... ... *Creditors,* *(Petitioners).*

vs.

1. M. Rudrappa, Mandy Merchant, Old Tharagupet, Bangalore City and others ... ... *Creditors,* *(Opponents.)*

1. Kota Venkatachala Setty, 2. Ramiah Setty, son of Kota Seshiah Setty, No. 235, Old Tharagupet, Bangalore City, ... *Debtors, Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 7th July 1936, against (debtors), and on the application of 1. Haji Suleman Sait and another (Creditors-petitioners) and on reading the petition and hearing the debtors, it is ordered that the debtors be and the said debtors are hereby adjudged insolvents.

Dated this 9th day of October 1936.

To prove debts by 4th December 1936.

Time for discharge—One year.

*(Debtors are adjudged insolvents be noted clearly).

Date of hearing—14th December 1936.

B. RAMANNA,
Subordinate Judge.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT KOLAR GOLD FIELDS.

Insolvency Application No. 130 *of* 1935-36.

C. S. Anthony, son of Soosenathan, Carpenter, K. G. F. Hospital ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) V. N. Pookraj, Andersonpet, (2) J. M. Dhariwal, Andersonpet, (3) Anthony Pillai, Andersonpet, (4) D. Sigamani, Marikuppam, Champion Reefs and (5) Murgesh, Champion Reefs ... *Opponents. Creditors.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 19th May 1936, against creditors and on the application of the petitioner, and on reading the said petition and hearing all creditors, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 13th day of October 1936.

Time for discharge—Two years.

Proof of debts—16th February 1937.

Insolvency Application No. I. C. 140 *of* 1935-36.

P. Ayyavoo, son of Veeran, Mysore Mines ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) Pratapmull, Andersonpet, (2) B. Seshamull, Robertsonpet ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 27th May 1936, against creditors, and on the application of the petitioner, and on reading the said petition, and hearing the creditors, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 6th day of October 1936.

Time for discharge—Two years.

Proof of debts—2nd February 1937.

Insolvency Application No. 160 *of* 1935-36.

(1) Munisami, son of Muneppa *alias* Muganna, Agriculturist, (2) Munivenkatappa, brother of First Petitioner and (3) Chowdappa, brother of First Petitioner, Pedampalli Village ... *Petitioners.*

vs.

(1) T. R. Ramasamy Setty, Kolar, (2) Varagappa Setty, Kolar, (3) Venkatasami, Bavandahalli, Kolar District, (4) Munisami, Hudukula, and (5) Munisami Gowda, Pedampalli .. *Opponents. Creditors.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 26th June 1936, against the creditors, and on the application of the petitioners, and on reading the said petition, and hearing the creditors, it is ordered that the debtors be and the said debtors are hereby adjudged insolvents.

Dated this 13th day of October 1936.

Time for discharge—Two years.

Proof of debts—23rd February 1937.

Insolvency Application No. 3 *of* 1936-37.

(1) Ratnavelu, son of Parasurama, Sanitary-Maistry, Marikuppam, (2) Kamakshamma, wife of First Petitioner. ... *Petitioners.*

vs.

(1) M. Pookraj, Marikuppam, (2) Shookraj, Andersonpet, (3) Misrilal Dhariwal, Andersonpet, (4) Poosalal, Andersonpet, (5) Mazid Saheb, Marikuppam, (6) Chinnammayammal, Marikuppam. (7) Kalli Subbaiah, Robertsonpet, (8) Chinnathayammal, Andersonpet and (9) Periya Ammayamma, Andersonpet ... *Opponents. Creditors.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 1st July 1936, against creditors and on the application of the petitioners and on reading the said petition and hearing creditors, it is ordered that the debtors be and the said debtors are hereby adjudged insolvents.

Dated this 13th day of October 1936.

Time for discharge—Two years

Proof of debts—23rd February 1937.

Insolvency Application No. 9 *of* 1936-37.

M. C. Raju, son of Singaram, Cook, under Mr. L. A. Alison, Electricity Department, Oorgaum ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) S. L. Gangiah, Robertsonpet, (2) Abdulla, Oorgaum, (3) Ratna Mudaliar, Champion Reefs, (4) Muniappa, Oorgaum Camp, and (5) J. Mahomed Hussan, Nandydroog ... ... *Opponents. Creditors.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 18th July 1936, against creditors and on the application of the petitioner and on reading the said petition and hearing the creditors, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 13th day of October 1936.
Time for discharge—Two years.
Proof of debts—28rd February 1937.

Insolvency Application No. 17 *of* 1936-37.

Papiah, son of Munisami, Gollahalli, Robertsonpet Hobli ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) B. Thejraj, Andersonpet, (2) P. S. Lachiram, Andersonpet, (3) N. Krishnasami and brothers, Andersonpet and (4) V. R. Rajagopala Setty, Jodi Gollahalli ... ... *Opponents. Creditors.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 28th July 1936, against creditors and on the application of the petitioner and on reading the said petition and hearing the creditors, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 13th day of October 1936.
Time for discharge—Two years.
Proof of debts—16th February 1937.

Insolvency Application No. 20 *of* 1936-37.

Peter Marks, son of Marks, Banksman, Mysore Mines ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) Misrilal Dhariwal, Andersonpet, (2) S. Ponnalal, Andersonpet, (3) S. Pratapmull, Andersonpet, (4) S. Himmathmull, Andersonpet, (5) Sukraj, Andersonpet, (6) M. Pookraj, Andersonpet ... ... *Opponents. Creditors.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 6th August 1936, against creditors and on the application of the petitioner, and on reading the said petition, and hearing the creditors, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 13th day of October 1936.
Time for discharge—Two years.
Proof of debts—16th February 1937.

Insolvency Application No. 27 *of* 1936-37.

(1) M. G. Ponnasami, son of Govindan, Butler, Champion Reefs and (2) P. Rangaraj, son of (1), Operator, Champion Reefs ... *Petitioners.*

vs.

(1) Jawanmul Chandmul, Robertsonpet, (2) B. H. J. Munisamappa, Robertsonpet, (3) Amolkchand, Ulsoor, Andersonpet, (4) N. Multanmul Dulraj, Andersonpet and (5) S. Ponnalal by Agent P. Soorajmul, Marikuppam ... ... *Opponents. Creditors,*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 17th August 1936, against creditors and on the application of the petitioners, and on reading the said petition, and hearing the creditors, it is ordered that the debtors be and the said debtors are hereby adjudged insolvents.

Dated this 13th day of October 1936.
Time for discharge—Two years.
Proof of debts—2nd March 1937.

N. SAMAIENGAR,
Munsiff.

IN THE COURT OF THE SECOND MUNSIFF AT TUMKUR.

Insolvency Application No. 4 *of* 1935-36.

Hotel Nanjappa, son of Revanna, residing at Tiptur ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) M. K. Veerappa, Tiptur, (2) T. J. Gurappa Setty, Tiptur, (3) Kappia, Tiptur, (4) Sriramiah of Tiptur, (5) Mallappa, Tiptur ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 23rd December 1935, against 1 to 5 opponents, and on the application of Hotel Nanjappa, petitioner, and on reading and hearing the petitioner's application, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

(Adjudication made under summary procedure).

Dated this 12th day of October 1936.

Creditors to prove their debts by 11th December 1936.

K. C. LINGIAH GOWDER,
Second Munsiff.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT MYSORE.

Insolvency Case No. 86 *of* 1934-35.

M. Madappa, son of Madappa, Deevatige Street, Old Agrahar, Mysore... *Petitioner (Insolvent.)*

vs.

Madhava Rao and others ... ... *Opponents (Applicants.)*

Take notice that the abovenamed insolvent has applied for his discharge and that the Court has fixed the 9th day of November 1936, at 11 o'clock, for hearing the application.

Dated this 14th day of October 1936.

Insolvency Case No. 40 *of* 1935-36.

Padmarajiah *alias* Pommiah, son of Honnappa Setty, Hassan ... *Petitioner (Insolvent).*

vs.

Adirajiah and others ... ... *Opponents (Applicants).*

Take notice that the abovenamed insolvent has applied for his discharge, and that the Court has fixed the 9th day of November 1936, at 11 o'clock, for hearing the application.

Dated this 14th day of October 1936.

Insolvency Case No. 42 *of* 1935-36.

Susiladesikachar *alias* Rangaswami Iyengar, son of Satyagalam Venkatadesikachar, Sarvamanya Krishnarajapur Agrahar, Sosale Hobli, T.-Narsipur Taluk .. .. *Petitioner (Insolvent).*

vs.

Srirangachar and another ... . *Opponents (Applicants).*

Take notice that the abovenamed insolvent has applied for his discharge, and that the Court has fixed the 9th day of November 1936, at 11 o'clock for hearing the application.

Dated this 14th day of October 1936.

G. PARAMASIVIAH,
Subordinate Judge.